ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅವರು ಫಾರ್ಮರ್ಸ್ ಫೋರಮ್ಮಿನ ಪೈಸ್-ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದರೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಹಳ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾರ್ಲೆಯವರು ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಏಜೆಂಟ್, ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟರಿ ಮ್ಯಾನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಬಂಡವಾಳಶಾಹಿ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ವ್ಯವಸಾಯಗಾರರು, ಕೋ-ಆಪರೇಟೀವ್ ಫೀಲ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವವರು, ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟರಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು ಎಂಬ ನಿಯಮವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಸ್. ಶಂಕರಪ್ಪ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಮಸಿಯಪ್ಪನವರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾರ್ಲೆಯವರು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಕ್ಯಾಂಡಿಡೇಟ್ ಆಗಿ 1957ರ ಎಲೆಕ್ಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ನಿಂತು ಸೋತಿದ್ದು ತಮ್ಮ ತಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—Order, order. ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ಮಾತು.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Has it come to the notice of Government that these two gentlemen were carrying on an opposition to the land reforms policy?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That question does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಪಾರ್ಥಸಾರಥಿ.—ಫಾರ್ಮರ್ಸ್ ಅನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಥವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Border Dispute between Bombay and Mysore.

*Q.–213. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that the Chief Minister of Bombay has stated recently in the Bombay Legislative Assembly replying to the debate on the Governor's Address that there has been a deadlock between the Mysore Government and the Bombay Government in regard to the Bombay and Mysore border dispute;

(*b*) whether there is a dispute at all in regard to the border issue between the two States;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister of Mysore has agreed to forward some proposals in this behalf and if so, whether they will be made available to the members of Legislature before finalisation at Government level?

*A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Government has no official information. According to newspaper report, the Chief Minister, Bombay, said that his proposal was not acceptable to the Government of Mysore. This is a fact.

(*b*) Regarding some areas.

(*c*) The Chief Minister has agreed to put forward his points of view to the Union Minister for Home Affairs. It is not possible to disclose at this stage those views.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಜ್ಜೈರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ? ಹೊನದೇನು ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಇದೆ ? ಏನು ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಉಳಿದಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಮೈಸೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಟು, ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಸ್ಟೇಟು ಎಂದು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಸೆಪರೇಟ್ ಸ್ಟೇಟುಗಳು ಆಗಿ ಹೋಗಿವೆ. According to the Act of Parliament, minor boundary issue ವನ್ನು ಓಪನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಯೂನಿಯನ್ ಹೋಂ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ರಿ-ಆರ್ಗನೈಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಆದಮೇಲೆ ಡಿಸ್‌ಪ್ಯೂಟುಗಳನ್ನು ರಿ-ಓಪನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಇಲ್ಲ. ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ತುರು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾಡುವ ಇಚ್ಛೆಯೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—May I know if the Hon'ble the Chief Minister is aware of the provision in the S.R. Act by which border dispute could be settled in the Zonal Committee ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.–That is well-known. Minor border disputes may be raised and if both the sides agree, the question can be taken up by Parliament.

2 P.M.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know with reference to answer to (*b*), what are the areas ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That has to be determined. That is not at all settled. That is why some discussion is going on between the two Governments and also in consultation with the Government of India.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—The question is: what is the area that is claimed by Bombay and what is the area that is claimed byMysore ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That is not defined anywhere, Sir. The Government of

Bombay may be claiming some area from Mysore State and the Government of Mysore also may be claiming some area from Bombay State. But ultimately, it must be minor boundary disputes agreed to by both the Governments.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Will the Hon'ble the Chief Minister be pleased to say in respect of what particular villages there is this dispute? The whole thing is kept in the dark. I am asking what are the villages in respect of which minor adjustments are pending?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Both the Governments have not come to the conclusion. I have given my views through the Union Home Minister to the Bombay Government. I have not got specific reply either from Bombay or the Union Home Minister.

Construction of Dandavathi Project in Sorab Taluk.

*Q.—216. Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA (Honnali).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the ryots of Anavatti Hobli, Sorab Taluk, Shimoga District, are agitating for the construction of Dandavathi project in Sorab taluk;

(*b*) if so, the reasons for not including the same in the Second Five-Year Plan;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that an estimate has been prepared for this project?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) In view of the high priority for other projects and of the ceiling fixed by the Planning Commission for expenditure under irrigation in the Second Five-Year Plan, the project was not included in the Second Five-Year Plan.

(*c*) Yes.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—May I know the basis of the determination of priority under which this project was not elected?

†Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—There are various considerations on which a project is taken up. Firstly, it must be a feasible project. Secondly, it must be a backward area or a scarcity area. On the basis of these things and a number of other considerations, we take up a project.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—Can I take it that it does not come under any of these priorities?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—It is no doubt a good project. But the reasons that prevailed at the time of selection, I presume, must be to give priority to schemes in scarcity area. This project taken by itself looks to be *prima facie* a good project. But it requires further consideration.

Sri H. S. RUDRAPPA.—What is the cost of the project and the area that will be brought under irrigation?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—It is proposed to irrigate about 8,000 acres, out of which 5,000 acres are already tank-fed and 3,000 acres would come under fresh atchkat. The estimate as prepared in 1954 is Rs. 42 lakhs and it has got to be revised.

Accommodation in T.B. Hospital at Mysore.

*Q.—230. Sri G. S. BOMMEGOWDA (Mandya).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of patients that could be accommodated in the Tuberculosis Hospital at Mysore;

(*b*) out of the total number of patients, the percentage of patients belonging to Mandya District;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that many patients of Mandya District have been sent back for want of accommodation?

A.—Sri K. K. HEGDE (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) 365 patients could be accommodated in P.K.T. Sanatorium, Mysore.

(*b*) Out of the total number of patients, 11 per cent of the patients belong to Mandya District.